

Systematic Review: Impact of an Intercalated BSc on Medical Student Performance & Careers

Abstract

Introduction

Intercalated BScs (iBScs) are an optional part of undergraduate (UG) medicine courses in the UK, Eire, Australia, New Zealand, the West Indies, Hong Kong, South Africa and Canada, consisting of advanced study into a particular field of medicine, often combined with research. They potentially improve students' skills and allow exploration of specific areas of interest. They are, however, expensive for institutions and students and delay workforce entry. There is conflicting evidence about their impact.

Methods

A mixed-method systematic review (meta-analysis and critical interpretive synthesis) of the biomedical and educational literature, focusing on the impact of iBScs on UG performance, skills, and career choice, and to explore students' and other stakeholders' opinions about iBScs.

Results

In the meta-analytic part of this review we identified five studies which met our predetermined quality criteria. For UG performance, two studies using different methodologies report an improvement in UG performance; one study reported an Odds Ratio [OR] of 3.58 [95% CI 1.47-8.83]) and the second reported a significant improvement in finals scores (1.27 points advantage 95% CI 0.52 to 2.02). One study reported a mixed result, while two studies showed no improvement.

Regarding skills and attitudes, one paper suggested iBScs lead to the development of deeper learning styles. With regard to subsequent careers, two studies suggested that for those students undertaking iBSc there is an increased chance following an academic career [ORs of 3.6 (2.3-5.8) to 5.94 (3.6-11.5)].

Seven of eight studies (with broader selection criteria) reported that iBSc students were less likely to pursue GP careers (ORs no effect to 0.17 [0.07-0.36]). Meta-analysis of the data was not possible.

In the critical interpretative synthesis analysis, we identified 46 articles, from which three themes emerged; firstly, the decision to undertake an iBSc, with students receiving conflicting advice; secondly, the educational experience, with intellectual growth balanced against financial costs; finally, the ramifications of the iBSc, including some suggestion of improved employment prospects and the potential to nurture qualities that make “better” doctors.

Conclusion

Intercalated BScs may improve UG performance and increase the likelihood of pursuing academic careers, and are associated with a reduced likelihood of following a GP career. They help students to develop reflexivity and key skills, such as a better understanding of critical appraisal and research. The decision to undertake an iBSc is contentious; students feel ill-informed about the benefits. These findings could have implications for a variety of international enrichment programmes.

Plain language summary

Intercalated BScs courses usually involve an extra year at medical school with a focus on research, and exist in many forms and in many countries. They are expensive for students and institutions and delay students starting work as a doctor. There is debate about their impact. We undertook a systematic review of the research that had gathered the views from student and teacher sources about these courses. Amalgamation of the data suggested that these courses may have a beneficial impact on student performance at medical school, students who do them are more likely to be clinical academics (clinical teachers or researchers), and are less likely to be general practitioners. Contextual data suggested that if students have a choice (which not all do), they find it a difficult

decision balancing possible career benefits against the extra costs. Most usually, they find the courses very helpful.

A Systematic review of the impact of intercalated BSc on medical students' performance and career choices

Background and need for the project

Medical schools aspire to produce fully rounded clinicians, with skills such as scientific literacy, self directedness in learning and motivation to explore specific areas of interest. There is, however, limited scope within crowded medical school curricula for medical students to develop these skills and interests as undergraduates. Self directed courses (Murphy et al. 2008), summer research projects (Griswold et al. 1991; Kempf et al. 1984) and other initiatives have had varying degrees of success; however, an additional degree during *protected time* within the undergraduate medical curriculum has the potential to meet many of these objectives.

Intercalated, honours, honors or complementary BScs and BMedSci courses (collectively called iBScs from here on) are usually periods of extended study during a medical undergraduate (UG) course, usually with a focus on a specific area of preclinical or clinical science. Intercalated BScs are undertaken in addition to basic undergraduate medical training and aim to give future clinicians important extra skills and experience. Traditionally, in the UK, iBScs have been offered only to the most academically able students, usually at the end of the pre-clinical course and in basic science subjects, such as physiology and anatomy. They were an expected *right of passage* for some students intending to pursue highly competitive careers (Park SJK et al. 2010) (Jones M et al. 2005). More recently, some UK institutions, such as University College London (UCL) and Southampton University, have made these courses compulsory for non-graduate entrants. In other UK institutions (such as Nottingham University) these degrees are obtained as standard elements of attainment within an ordinary medical school degree, often as a B Med Sci.

As an educational intervention, iBScs often share the following properties: an extended course away from the traditional medical curriculum, (Collins et al. 2010) encouraging in depth independent study (Yudkin et al. 2003), a project focusing on an area of interest (Gardner K and Olojugba C 2008), e.g. a laboratory based project (Krishnan P 2002b), or a formal dissertation (Jones M et al. 2008). In some cases, they feature clinical work (Jones M et al. 2001; Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010).

Published iBScs course aims often suggest producing clinician scientists, rather than teaching enhanced skills for clinical practice. One UK iBSc course describes the degree as “research orientated” providing “good training in the techniques and methods of biomedical research” (page 216) (Tait N and Marshall T 1995). One Australian course has multiple learning objectives, including “the process of research work, critical appraisal, development of skills about knowledge (evidence), how it should be assembled and evaluated and updated, encourage oral and written communication skills, and development of autonomy and independence in study”. (page e542) (Collins, Farish, McCalman, & McColl 2010).

There are a range of iBSc courses available, including traditional preclinical sciences (physiology (Harris PF 1986), anatomy (Gogalniceanu et al. 2009), biochemistry, (Fraser et al. 1986) pathology (MacGowan AP et al. 1986; Wyllie and Currie 1986), as well as clinical subjects, such as microbiology, public health and primary care (Elwood et al. 1986; Jones M, Lloyd M, & Meakin R 2001; Williamson JD 1986). Various course descriptions have been published (Broome JL et al. 2007; Collins, Farish, McCalman, & McColl 2010; Dudley HAF 1970; Dudley HAF 1989; Jones M, Lloyd M, & Meakin R 2001; Jones M, Singh S, & Lloyd M 2005; Yudkin, Bayley, Elnour, Willott, & Miranda 2003). A full list of the range of current UK intercalated BScs are available at www.intercalate.co.uk.

Intercalated BSc programmes are delivered in the UK, Australia (Collins, Farish, McCalman, & McColl 2010; Eaton and Thong 1986; Ludbrook 1989; Young JA and Sefton AJ 1984), New Zealand (Al-Shaqsi S 2010; Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010) Hong Kong, the West Indies (Jamaica), South Africa (Baleta 2012) Eire, and occasionally Canada (Gerrard et al. 1988) (Fingerote RJ 1989).

Table 1: Examples of international intercalated iBScs

Country	University	Web address
Australia	University of Sydney	(http://sydney.edu.au/science/fstudent/undergrad/course/com-scimed.shtml) (tinyurl.com/6k3k4aw),
New Zealand	Otago	(http://www.otago.ac.nz/courses/qualifications/bmedschons.html) (tinyurl.com/658m3tm).
Republic of Ireland	University College Cork	http://www.ucc.ie/medstud/YearInt/yearintercalate.html
Jamaica	University of the west Indies	http://sta.uwi.edu/resources/documents/facultybooklets/MedSciUndergrad.pdf
Hong Kong	The Chinese University of Hong Kong	http://www.med.cuhk.edu.hk/v7/Doc/BMedSc%20Information%20Sheet%202008.pdf
South Africa	UCT (Cape Town)	http://www.medicine.uct.ac.za/Clinical%20Scholar/Presentations/11H30%20AKatz.ppt
Canada	University of Manitoba	http://umanitoba.ca/faculties/medicine/education/undergraduate/bsc_med.html

There are also various blogs discussing iBScs.

(<http://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2010/04/14/helen-jaques-to-bsc-or-not-to-bsc/>)

(tinyurl.com/bmsey8c),

(http://www.thestudentroom.co.uk/wiki/A_Brief_Guide_to_Intercalated_Degrees)

(tinyurl.com/6vz75o9)

Intercalated BScs have a long history, dating back to the 1890s in Australia (Young JA & Sefton AJ 1984), and were introduced formally in the UK in 1964 (Smith 1988). By 1985, 10% of UK medical graduates had a Bachelor of Arts or BSc (which may or may not have been intercalated), as did 39% of medical academics (Wakeford et al. 1985). The last published estimate suggests iBScs are undertaken by between 10% and 36% of UK medical students (McManus IC et al. 1999; Tamber PS 1986). Until 1986 they were explicitly funded in the UK by the Medical Research Council (MRC) with the intention of seeding new clinical academics; a role they still fulfil in other countries (Baleta 2012). In the UK they are still widely offered, with 230 iBScs listed on the intercalate.co.uk website (accessed October 2012).

There is little published about why departments offer such courses. There may be an element of being a “shop window” to attract future medical academics, *“departments often find that successful students return to the discipline after qualification”* (p125) (Fraser, Browning, Walsh, & Paterson 1986), and there may be altruistic reasons with faculty wanting to see future clinicians better equipped to undertake or understand research, *“departments ...welcome the opportunity of covering their subjects in depth and of training students in scientific methods”*. (p125) (Fraser, Browning, Walsh, & Paterson 1986), funding advantages for departments, such as bringing in teaching income, and there may be a desire to increase departments’ prestige and status by delivering these high profile courses.

In many countries, following a US model of medical education, primary medical courses are usually postgraduate, so there would be very limited student appeal for a faculty in offering an undergraduate intercalated BSc, but as discussed further on, intercalated higher degrees (PhD, MPH) are now being offered. Enrichment programmes that extend medical school courses exist extensively in the US, although these programmes are designed primarily to enhance access to medical degree courses for minority groups (Barzansky et al. 2000).

Programmes called complementary degrees also flourished in the 1970s, in the form of six year BSc, MD courses; again, aimed at promoting access to medicine. (Daubney JH et al. 1981)

Research programs that extend medical courses with research methods programmes and projects or dissertations are common in the US, (Jacobs and Cross 1995) and these are often undertaken as summer courses (Griswold, Silverstein, Lenkei, & Fiedler 1991; Kempf, Claybrook, & Sodeman, Sr. 1984). They also exist in Canada (Smith et al. 2001), Germany (Cursiefen et al. 1995), Croatia (Kolcic et al. 2005) and Finland (Remes et al. 2000). These research courses have some similarities with iBSc courses, and these courses are sometimes constructed for the completion of dual clinical / higher research degrees (MD /PhD, MD /MPH) (Andriole et al. 2008) (Creavin et al. 2010).

Table 2 Examples of intercalated medical and higher degrees

Country	University	Course	Web address/ reference
Scotland/ UK	St Andrew's	MRes	http://medicine.st-andrews.ac.uk/documents/MRes_Leaflets_Feb11.pdf
UK	Keele	Masters	Creavin (Creavin, Mallen, & Hays 2010)
USA	Various	MD /PhD	Andriole (Andriole, Whelan, & Jeffe 2008)
UK	UCL	MB/PhD	http://www.ucl.ac.uk/mbphd/
NZ	Auckland	MB PhD others	Park (Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010)

Student selected components (SSCs) in UK medical schools that offer some of the components of an iBSc, such as depth and breadth of study, a foray into areas of personal interest, and provide opportunities outside the standard medical arena, but often last only a few weeks (Whittle and Murdoch-Eaton 2002) (Murphy, Seneviratne, Mcaleer, Remers, & Davis 2008).

Where there is a choice, the decision whether to undertake an iBSc remains a substantial dilemma for many medical students (Nicholson et al. 2010). iBSc courses are expensive in terms of the opportunity cost of delayed graduation and entry to the medical workforce, as well as direct costs due to student fees, living expenses and faculty costs (teaching and supervision) (Fraser, Browning, Walsh, & Paterson 1986). Estimates of the total additional costs in the UK are £40,000 per student (Gutenstein M 2000) (Sastry T 2005). Collins quotes iBSc course fees of Aus \$5000 (Collins, Farish, McCalman, & McColl 2010). With such high costs, it cannot be assumed that 'optional' degrees will remain the norm in a current climate of austerity. The role of the iBSc in medical education has therefore become more pertinent, particularly in the UK, in light of recent reductions in government funding for UK higher education and higher course fees.

Previous reviews on this subject are available (Leung W 2001) (Collins, Farish, McCalman, & McColl 2010) and discussed later. There is little data about the expected impact of iBSc courses. The following phrase from an editorial, "doing an intercalated BSc can make you a better doctor" (p760) (Greenhalgh and Wong 2003), which we have operationalised as: improved exam performance, skills acquired and the impact on students' subsequent careers. This structure has been used to frame the aims of our review, as follows.

Aim: To undertake a systematic review of the published literature on the impact for students undertaking a BSc, specifically focusing on the following:

- students' decisions about undertaking an iBSc;
- students' performance in undergraduate or final exams;
- impact on students' professional skills and values;
- students' experiences of doing an iBSc;
- effect on students' career choices;
- the ramifications (financial, personal) for students of doing an iBSc.

Methods

A systematic review with two components was undertaken to explore the impact of iBScs in medical education synthesising data from qualitative and quantitative methodologies (Adamson J 2005). A traditional meta-analysis (reporting numerical data) and a critical interpretative synthesis (reporting qualitative data) were undertaken, each with its own pre-specified criteria (see table 3). The published literature was searched using the following databases: Medline / National Library of Medicine (NLM), PsychINFO, EMBASE, the student BMJ database and ERIC for papers that report student outcomes beyond the direct course outcome itself - such as degree class or result. In addition, we manually searched archived Student BMJs, as an established source of articles and UK student perspective on iBScs. Index papers were citation-tracked using ISI Web of Knowledge. We subsequently checked the search with a Google Scholar search using the search term "intercalated bsc".

Once the two analyses were complete we combined the resulting data. We have not placed either process within a methodological hierarchy (i.e. quantitative data is stronger than the qualitative) but took the view that we would combine data in a complimentary manner, using an "*integrationist approach*", (p232) (Adamson J 2005) so that the data that illustrates a given concept or issue is used regardless of its source.

Scope of review

We have restricted our review to intercalating degrees at a Bachelor's level for medical students (and so we have excluded Veterinary or Dental science iBScs). Intercalating higher degree programmes such as intercalated Masters and MB / PhD programmes (see table 2) exist but are not included as they are relatively new, are not well evaluated currently (so there is little published material on their impact to include in a systematic review), and are probably likely to be aimed at clinicians predominantly pursuing a research rather than primarily a clinical career. We have also excluded undergraduate research courses and special study modules (SSC / SSMs), as these are very heterogeneous in nature and vary in duration from a few days to several years. US enrichment and Medicine Access courses are excluded, as previously discussed.

Search terms

The following search strategy was used and adapted for each database. The following is an example of the NLM / Medline search.

1. medical student AND (outcome OR progres\$ OR exam OR succes\$ OR fail\$) = 2341
2. bsc OR bachelor OR degree OR intercalated [Text Word] OR honours [TW] OR honors [TW] OR complementary [TW]= 430317
3. Combined 1&2 = 158 citations

Criteria for entry

We set the following inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis / quantitative synthesis: i) observational or trial designs, ii) controlled for previous academic performance, iii) a focus on undergraduate medical students, iv) outcomes compared with the general undergraduate and graduate medical population, and

v) availability of an English language abstract vi) an adequate description of the course to allow a judgment on the similarity with UK iBSc degrees.

For exploration of data on the impact of iBScs on pursuit of GP/ hospital careers we have dropped criterion ii), as we felt adjusting for prior academic performance (i.e. producing a ranking of students) would be meaningless on this measure as it is impossible on the other side of the analysis to rank the merits or produce a hierarchy of different medical careers.

For the meta-analysis / quantitative synthesis, studies were reviewed by two researchers and data analysis was checked independently by a statistician. We anticipated conducting meta-analysis of the data if they were not heterogeneous. Forest plots (Clark O and Djulbegovic B 2001) were derived.

For the critical interpretative synthesis (CIS) (Dixon-Woods et al. 2006) component of this review we set the following criteria:

- 1) Research papers, letters, opinion pieces and other articles (grey literature) relating to intercalated degrees within the medical undergraduate course.
- 2) Date range 1.1.1984 - 1.11.2012 to link with more recent student experiences and to allow adequate capture of the surge of opinion pieces before and after the timing of the UK Medical Research Council's (MRC) withdrawal of funding to iBScs in 1986.
- 3) Data identified from the meta-analysis / quantitative synthesis were included, when they contained reflective comments on their results.

CIS uses a wide variety of data sources such as letters and opinion pieces but is not a meta-synthesis of qualitative studies. The selection criteria do not therefore require any judgement about the "quality" of the source material; the aim is "to prioritise papers that appeared to be relevant, rather than particular study types or papers that met particular methodological standards" so that the data can act

“to maximise the inclusion and contribution of a wide variety of papers at the level of *concepts*” (page 4) (Dixon-Woods, Cavers, Agarwal, Annandale, Arthur, Harvey, Hsu, Katbamna, Olsen, Smith, Riley, & Sutton 2006).

Table 3: data sources and criteria for both methodological sections of the review

	Meta-analysis	critical interpretative synthesis
Data source	Studies identified through searches of Medline/National Library of Medicine (NLM), PsychINFO, EMBASE, the student BMJ database, ERIC	
Search criteria	medical student AND (outcome OR progres\$ OR exam OR succes\$ OR fail\$) , bsc OR bachelor OR degree OR intercalated [Text Word] OR honours [TW] OR honors [TW] OR complementary	
Dates	No date range applied, searches undertaken in 2008 and updated in 2012.	Additionally we incorporated research papers, letters, opinion pieces and other articles relating to intercalated degrees within the medical undergraduate course. Date range 1.1.1984 - 1.6.2009 updated 1.11.12
Quality criteria	observational or trial designs, ii) controlled for previous academic performance, iii) a focus on medical students, iv) outcomes compared to the general under and graduate medical population, and v) availability of an English language abstract vi) an adequate description of the course.	CIS methodology does not require assessment of quality of primary data sources, just the ability the data has to help generate concepts.

Analysis

i) Meta-analysis / quantitative synthesis:

The data is reported as odds ratio (OR) of BSc students' performance ÷ non-BSc students. Where ORs are very wide, logs OR are used to visually represent the data. Where identified studies did not report their data in the form of ratios, the

data are compared to other published work; for example, career outcome (% iBSc / non iBSc students going into general practice [GP]), or undergraduate performance.

ii) Critical interpretative synthesis:

With the critical interpretative synthesis of the qualitative data, this was analysed by two researchers (PH and SE) using a thematic framework approach (Ritchie.J and Spencer E 1994).

The researchers independently familiarised themselves with the data, each constructing a preliminary framework of emergent themes, which were then modified and combined by consensus, and subsequently checked by a third researcher (MJ), who was familiar with the qualitative data. The data were then indexed according to the themes. In the form of excerpts, the data were then charted onto an Excel spreadsheet according to theme, seeking disconfirming evidence throughout, and modifying themes accordingly. All disagreements in interpretation were discussed until consensus was achieved.

Results

The data and themes of this review are presented in a chronological order that might follow a student's career and are not presented by the methodology from which they were obtained.

Data was organised under the following headings:

1. The students' decision to undertake an iBSc
2. Undergraduate performance
 - 2.1 Acquisition of additional skills
3. Student experience
4. Impact on students' careers
 - 4.1 Future ramifications of having taken an iBSc
 - 4.2 Career progression
 - 4.3 Impact on GP careers

Data from the meta-analysis are embedded within the overall results as part of the following themes: impact on undergraduate performance (theme 2), acquisition of skills (sub theme 2.1), impact on students' careers (theme 4), academic careers (sub theme 4.2) and impact on choosing GP careers (sub theme 4.3).

i) Meta-analysis/ quantitative synthesis:

For this element of the review we initially identified 19 papers (see appendix 1) (Cleland et al. 2009;Eaton & Thong 1986;Elwood 1986;Elwood, Pearson, Madeley, Logan, Beaver, Gillies, Little, & Langham 1986;Gerrard, Fish, Tate, & Fish 1988;Harris PF 1986;Lambert et al. 2001;MacGowan AP, Johnston PW, & Thomson AW 1986;McManus IC, Richards P, & Winder B.C 1999;Nade 1978;Nguyen VanTam J et al. 2001;Tait N & Marshall T 1995;Williamson JD 1986;Wyllie & Currie 1986;Young JA & Sefton AJ 1984) (Howman and Jones 2011) (Mahesan et al. 2011) (Collins, Farish, McCalman, & McColl 2010) (Wakeford, Evered, Lyon, & Saunders 1985) reporting student impact (undergraduate performance, skills and attitudes and subsequent career progression), of which five met our full quality criteria for undergraduate performance (see table 4 and appendix 1). (Tait N & Marshall T 1995) (Wyllie & Currie 1986) (Cleland, Milne, Sinclair, & Lee 2009) (Mahesan, Crichton, Sewell, & Howell 2011) (Howman & Jones 2011) The papers are described in more detail in appendix 1. The studies were methodologically heterogeneous, so we were not able to produce a pooled (or meta-analysed) result.

ii) Critical interpretative synthesis:

For this element of the review we identified 46 papers, letters or articles which were deemed to be relevant to the subject of the review from an original search containing 485 items. The papers are described in detail in appendix 2. From this data we generated three main themes: the decision to undertake an iBSc (theme

1), the experience of doing an iBSc (theme 3), and the future ramifications of having undertaken the degree (sub theme 4.1).

1. The decision to undertake an iBSc

From our critical interpretative synthesis of the qualitative data, we found evidence that iBScs were pursued by students interested in research and academic medicine and in the expectation that these courses would help their career. (Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010). Within the literature there is, however, debate about whether, for some students, they should undertake an iBSc at all.

‘The only exception was that if you are a student interested in going into research the article provided a fairly clear answer: “yes”... (otherwise with regard to iBScs and careers) The answer was elusive, it is now no clearer.’ (Student) (page 478) (Aston D 2001)

Our data suggest that iBScs are a sensible choice in terms of career enhancement for some careers, but uncertain for others (GP, psychiatry). From a strictly career enhancing perspective there was some doubt about the impact of undertaking humanities iBScs. These themes are reflected by the following:

‘In short, either decision, to do or not to do, can be the right one for you.’ (Student) (page 479) (Burkitt Wright EMM 2001)

“For those intending to pursue a clinical career in... psychiatry, general practice – you should consider whether your desire for intellectual stimulation outweighs the time and money constraints” (doctor and medical journalist) (page 419) (Leung W 2001)

Structural factors also influenced the choice, such as the medical school’s policy on compulsory courses, the nature of course offered or prior academic success.

'The proportion of students taking the intercalated degrees varies widely among medical schools. In some medical schools it is obligatory... In other schools, it is open only to students who performed well in the first two years.'
(Doctor and medical journalist) (page 418) (Leung W 2001)

Lack of advice and support to students from medical schools was also highlighted as an issue (Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010).

2 Undergraduate performance

We identified five papers that report the impact of iBScs on medical school exam performance (Table 4 & Figure 1) (Tait N & Marshall T 1995) (Wyllie & Currie 1986) (Cleland, Milne, Sinclair, & Lee 2009) (Mahesan, Crichton, Sewell, & Howell 2011) (Howman & Jones 2011) with two reporting improvement in UG performance; Wyllie reports ORs of 3.58 (1.47-8.83). Mahesan et al's reports that "internally intercalating students had a year 5 mean result that was on average coefficient of 1.27 points (95% CI 0.52 to 2.02) greater than non intercalating students", externally intercalating students showed a non significant increase (the range of the data, however, was not published). It was not possible to calculate an OR from this data.


The study by Tait (Tait N & Marshall T 1995) reports that some factors in student performance improved, while others did not; but insufficient data was reported to derive an OR for this study. Cleland et al.'s study looked at multiple measures, but reported a non significant improvement in finals OSCEs. (Cleland, Milne, Sinclair, & Lee 2009) Howman et al.'s paper in a medical school where iBScs are compulsory (minimising selection bias) suggests there is no effect on first clinical year performance with an adjusted mean score difference of 1.4 (-4.9 to +7.7 95% CI, p=0.66) (overall mean score 238.0 "completed iBSc" students versus 236.5 "not completed" range 145 - 272 out of 300). (Howman & Jones 2011). In

summary, two studies out of five reported an improvement in UG performance associated with undertaking an iBSc.

Table 4: Impact of the iBSc degree on undergraduate medical student performance

Study	Measure	BSc students	Non BSc students	Odds ratios	95% Confidence intervals
Wyllie et al 1986	Finals (getting high score in finals exam) >10/20	32/55 (58.2%)	14/50 (28%)	3.58	1.47-8.83
Cleland et al 2009	% achieving highest grade (1-2) in OSCE finals (861 students)	104/154 (67.5%)	421/707 (59.5%)	1.41	0.96-2.09
Howman et al 2011	1 st clinical year score - top half	53/136 (38.9%)	37/138 (26.8%)	1.81	1.09 to 3.01* (*note adjusted score is n/s)
Tait et al 1995	Data in unusable format- narrative summary				
Mahesan et al 2011	Finals score	Internal intercalating students scored 1.27 points (95% CI 0.52 to 2.02) greater than those who did not .			

Figure 1: Impact of the iBSc degree on undergraduate medical student performance (diagram does not include data on two studies for which ORs weren't calculable)



2.1 Acquisition of additional skills and changes in attitudes

Our analysis showed that one of the most frequently cited benefits of undertaking an iBSc was the acquisition of new skills, (Agha and Howell S 2005;Asgari-Jirhandeh and Haywood 1997;Iqbal K 2001;Krishnan P 2002b) (Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010) specifically including research or

laboratory skills that are rarely learnt elsewhere in the curriculum (White R 2006) (Mabvuure 2012). Students and academics cited the improvement to critical appraisal skills from handling literature during the year, (Attwell and Boyd 1996;Iqbal K 2001;Jones M, Singh S, & Lloyd M 2005) (Weidmann A 2002) and a variety of personal study skills, such as self-discipline and time management (Elwood, Pearson, Madeley, Logan, Beaver, Gillies, Little, & Langham 1986;Price H 1998). Empathy (Moscrop A 2002) and improved communication skills were also mentioned with reference to iBScs with a clinical component. In Elwood's study 34/98 [35%] of students mentioned improvements in interpersonal skills, such as *"the ability to talk to patients"* (page 233) (Elwood, Pearson, Madeley, Logan, Beaver, Gillies, Little, & Langham 1986). Additionally, the opportunity for independent intellectual thought and stimulation was highlighted (Williamson JD 1986) (Elwood 1986).

We also investigated the impact that iBScs had on students' skills and attitudes within the quantitative framework and identified only three studies (two of high quality). McManus et al. report that students who had taken an intercalated degree had higher deep learning scores ($z = 3.73, P < 0.001$) and strategic ($z = 4.56; P < 0.001$) (where the significance tests from multiple regression and multilevel modelling are reported as Z statistics). (McManus IC, Richards P, & Winder B.C 1999) However, one study suggested a deterioration in the way students deal with ethical issues, following the iBSc (Goldie et al. 2004). Additionally, Elwood et al's study (student self-reports, with no comparator groups so not meeting our quality criteria) found that 90% (81/90) respondents believed that the course promoted their interpersonal skills as well as their research skills, and 58% (57/98) reported an increased awareness of the need for critical evaluation (Elwood 1986;Elwood, Pearson, Madeley, Logan, Beaver, Gillies, Little, & Langham 1986).

Of interest to students and societal stakeholders is the question of whether undertaking an iBSc makes better doctors (Agha and Singh 2003) (Greenhalgh &

Wong 2003). Academic leaders felt that iBScs instilled skills that were highly valuable to future doctors (Greenhalgh & Wong 2003). However, with no agreed measure of a “good doctor,” there is, unsurprisingly, no hard evidence to support or refute this as a potential outcome.

3. Student experience

Students often described the courses as being enjoyable and some felt it had had a profound effect on their lives, (Harris PF 1986) (Goldstein D 2002;Holmes 1986;Smith 1986) due to intellectual rewards or influence on career:

“That was the year that I learnt to think and to question and to find out things for myself. That is where the (iBSc) degrees may be so important they prepare students for a lifetime of learning” (former editor of the BMJ) (page 1620) (Smith 1986)

Also mentioned was the opportunity to have a break from the rigours of the medical course, although perceived workloads varied considerably. (Krishnan P 2002b) (White R 2006) (Moscrop A 2002). Some students discussed the chance to form new friendships, (White R 2006) (Weidmann A 2002); however, others described the loss of social networks from the early years of medical school as a drawback (Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010) (Thiagamoorthy S 2001).

The key negative aspect to undertaking an iBSc internationally were the additional financial costs (Fingerote RJ 1989;Gardner K & Olojugba C 2008;Gray 1989;Gutenstein M 2000;Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010).

“With mounting student debt and moves by the UK government to almost triple tuition fees... accumulating yet another year’s debt whilst also

delaying repayment of your growing negative balance can be a serious turn-off.”(Recently qualified doctor) (page 1137) (Rushforth 2004)

Various practical problems were mentioned, such as students’ concerns about not being able to pursue preferred subjects. There were faculty worries over the potential adverse impact on students’ clinical skills, although in one study comparing those who intercalated and those who didn’t, intercalating students achieved higher clinical OSCEs scores than those who didn’t intercalate (Cleland, Milne, Sinclair, & Lee 2009).

Students voiced worries about their research not producing meaningful results, and some described a pressure to publish (Collier K 2001). There were contrasting views on the quality of support from supervisors (Eaton & Thong 1986) with occasional voices expressing concerns about being exploited in laboratory settings (although in this specific case the published accusation (Krishnan P 2002b) was contested (Kentish JC and Avkiran M 2002) and subsequently retracted (Krishnan P 2002a).

4 Impact on students’ careers

Our analysis suggests there was a perceived benefit to general employment opportunities (Agha & Howell S 2005; Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010), particularly within the specific discipline of the iBSc subject. (Child M and Gupta L 2009) However, the impact on employment of an iBSc was also contested by some commentators. (Collier K 2001; Leung W 2001)

“No value put on the (intercalated) degree once you qualify” (page 30)
(Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010)

IBSes were perceived to confer advantages for candidates, in terms of useful personal contacts (Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010),

impact at interview (Iqbal K 2001) and within their employment references (Leung W 1999). Additionally, iBScs explicitly gain extra credit within the application system for the UK Foundation post system for junior doctors. Mahesan et al.'s study (Mahesan, Crichton, Sewell, & Howell 2011) showed that iBSc students obtain higher scoring for their foundation school application, both from their improved exam performance, but also through improved scoring on "white space" (page 2) (Mahesan, Crichton, Sewell, & Howell 2011) question ("white space" online questions are free text reflective questions about team working, professionalism, etc asked of all applicants for foundation training - however this assessment format will disappear in 2013).

There was discussion about whether some careers really warranted an iBSc (Longmore HJA 1986), linking back to the decision to undertake an iBSc in the first place. For those students undecided about their future career path, the suggestion was that iBScs were a sensible choice, particularly if aiming to branch away from medicine altogether as it gave students an exit degree without having to wait 5-6 years for a Medicine degree (Leung W 2001).

4.2 Academic career progression (the pursuit of an academic career)

We know that those who have already pursued UK and international academic careers are more likely to have iBScs (Evered et al. 1987; Wakeford, Evered, Lyon, & Saunders 1985) (Seltzer 1987). A methodological difficulty was highlighted by authors in ascertaining a causal relationship between undertaking an iBSc and success in academic careers (Holgate J et al. 1999) (McManus 2011). The potential for students to attain publications from their research was mentioned as being a key benefit that enhanced career prospects. (Agha & Howell S 2005; Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010) At Queensland (Australia) Medical school iBSc graduates were 6 times more likely to undertake higher research degrees (MSc, MD, PhD) [17.3% vs 3% ($p < 0.001$)] compared to non-intercalating students (Eaton & Thong 1986). The mechanism may be that an iBSc degree,

“confers a significant advantage when applying for post graduate medical research scholarships to do a PhD, MD or MS”. (page 907) (Ludbrook 1989)

There is evidence that the intention to pursue an academic career is present at the undergraduate level - suggesting the iBSc merely acts as staging post for aspiring academics (McManus IC, Richards P, & Winder B.C 1999) (McManus 2011).

There is some suggestion that departments run such courses to attract clinical academics back to their speciality and to build academic capacity (Fraser, Browning, Walsh, & Paterson 1986). There are also concerns that the threats to iBScs courses may have an adverse impact on academic capacity (Morrison 2004).


We identified three studies within the quantitative element of the review which indicate that students with an iBSc have improved prospects of academic progression (Table 5, figure 2) with ORs in the range 3.64 (95% CI 2.32-5.77) to 5.94 (95% CI 3.60-11.54). (Gerrard, Fish, Tate, & Fish 1988) (Wyllie & Currie 1986). McManus reported a career preference to pursue “medical research” amongst final year students of 2.18 (sd 1.10) for iBSc students vs 1.71 (sd 0.88), $p < 0.001$ (on a range of 1-5 where 5 indicates a definite intention to pursue this career) (McManus IC, Richards P, & Winder B.C 1999). There was insufficient data to calculate an OR for this study. Additionally, the Nguyen study states that “55% reported that the (honours) year had increased their likelihood of choosing an academic career”, however, 19% “felt it had reduced the chances.” (page 136) (Nguyen VanTam J, Logan RF, Logan S, & Mindell J 2001).

Table 5: Impact of iBSc degrees on academic advancement - i.e. encouraging students to pursue academic careers.

Study	Measure	B.Sc students	Non B.Sc students	Odds ratios	95% Confidence intervals
Gerrard et al 1988	Academic career	101/206 (49%)	43/206 (21%)	3.64	2.32-5.77
Wyllie et al 1986	Academic careers	18/42 (43%)	321/2863** (Lambert and Goldacre 1998) (11.2%)	5.94	3.60-11.54

** expected proportion of academics from Lambert (Lambert & Goldacre 1998)

Figure 2: Impact of iBSc degrees on academic progression (the pursuit of an academic career)



4.3 GP careers

There is an association of students with iBSc degrees and a lower likelihood of pursuing careers in GP / family practice (Table 6 & Figure 3), with many iBSc graduates instead pursuing hospital careers - OR for a GP career ranged from 0.99 (OR confidence intervals cross unity) to 0.17 (0.07-0.36).

McManus et al stated iBSc students “showed ... less interest in general practice” (page 542) with a career intention of 2.27 (sd 0.83) for iBSc students vs 2.46 (sd 0.88), $p < 0.001$ (on a range of 1-5 where 5 indicates a definite intention to pursue this career) (McManus IC, Richards P, & Winder B.C 1999). These results may be explained by an historical lack of primary care-related BSc degrees – courses that now exist (Jones M, Lloyd M, & Meakin R 2001) – supported by the fact that the most community-orientated iBSc (public health and epidemiology) has no negative effect on GP recruitment (Nguyen VanTam J, Logan RF, Logan S, & Mindell J 2001).


Table 6 Impact of iBSc degrees on general practice as a career

Study	Measure	BSc students	Non-BSc students	Odds ratios	95% Confidence intervals
Gerrard et al 1988	% family practice	17**/336 (5%)	215/ 1442 (13%)	0.36	0.20-0.60
Eaton et al 1986	% general practice careers	11/90 (12.2%)	51/112 (45.5%)	0.17	0.07-0.36
MacGowan A 1986	% general practice careers	9/46 (19.5%)	* 528/1192 (44.3%)	0.31	0.13-0.65
Nguyen Van Tam J et al 2001	% general practice careers	86/ 195 (44%)	* (44.3%)	0.99	0.72-1.36
Williamson JD 1986	% general practice careers	3/19 (15.7%)	* (44.3%)	0.24	0.04-0.83
Wyllie et al 1986	% general practice careers	7/42 (17%)	16/39 (41%)	0.29	0.09-0.89
Young & Sefton 1984	% general practice careers	31/356 (8.7%)	51/112 (45.5%)	0.11	0.07-0.20
Lambert et al 2001	% general practice careers	319/2081 (15.3%)	776/ 2992 (25.9%)	0.52	0.45-0.60

* expected proportion to follow a GP career (Lambert et al. 1996)

** estimated from proportions in report, no raw data

Figure 3: Impact of iBSc degrees on subsequent careers in general practice



This study is the first attempt to systematically analyse the benefits of iBSc courses within UG medical education. This review showed conflicting evidence that undertaking an iBSc degree may have on undergraduate performance and acquisition of additional skills (this evidence is open to interpretation and is discussed further on). Though students may find the decision to undertake an iBSc difficult, there were suggestions that these courses were likely to benefit the future careers of some, in particular, those aspiring to an academic career. While students with iBScs are more likely to pursue careers in academia or hospital medicine, such choices do not necessarily indicate that these courses yield *better doctors*.

The primary studies that reported results from the CIS data add crucial context in this area, with suggestions of improved intellectual development, the time for students to reflect on their own skills, to develop life-long learning skills and “to get (their) head around research” (Greenhalgh & Wong 2003). These are not attributes that should be optional in medicine and are traditionally not well delivered by normal curricula (Tonks A and et al 2002;Watmough et al. 2009). Beyond the institutional and societal focus, however, the area of the results that may appeal to students (and consumers) of iBScs may relate to improved employment prospects, and more intangible components of spending a year away from the medical course, being another year older before qualifying as a doctor and working at a different pace. The uncertainty many students face about the choice to undertake an iBSc, where the course is optional, highlights the need for good careers advice (Nicholson, Cleland, Lemon, & Galley 2010;Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010;Rushforth 2004) so that students can make an informed choice relative to their own career plans.

Limitations

We have concluded on the basis of the data presented (supported by the CIS data), that overall, there is a positive effect on UG performance from iBScs. However, synthesising data across different study designs and methodologies is problematic (Adamson J 2005). From within the quantitative analysis, two out five studies suggest an improvement in UG performance but the data is not meta-analysable, so it is impossible to say what an overall result would be, were it possible to pool the data. Importantly, none of these studies suggest an adverse impact; it is a question of are these studies underpowered to detect a difference (effect not found) or is there is no difference (no effect)? Balancing the overall effect of two positive studies with three *no difference* studies has, therefore, to be a subjective and open to interpretation. Similarly, how we interpret and integrate the results from the CIS data, where qualitative data are traditionally within one epistemological school, seen as weaker in the hierarchy of evidence, can be viewed as contentious.

We do feel that using this combined methodology has a synergistic effect. This approach is used increasingly in health services research (Adamson J 2005) and funding bodies like the MRC increasingly expect a mixed method approach. Mixing the methodologies, however, does produce difficulties in presenting the data. Examples include difficulties with the basic structures of manuscripts, such as listing study aims, which are to be expected in quantitative studies but run counter to the exploratory nature of qualitative work where the research process is expected to generate new concepts which may be unanticipated. The most obvious tension is the expectation that we will critically appraise or judge the quality of the qualitative literature that we include. This idea of prejudging the qualitative literature runs counter to what we are trying to achieve with these data sources, where we are hoping to elicit new concepts or themes, and the source of that idea may come from a student letter or a faculty authored comment in a high quality observational study. Examples of this strength of synthesis are issues around student debt, which is a very strong theme from within the student sources, but is largely absent from faculty sources, but perhaps explains some of the reasoning behind students opting not to do iBScs, reasoning which is more complex than pure academic attainment.

Our work operationalised the concept of the *better* doctor to three measurable domains: we acknowledge that this concept may include many other attributes; there is, however, little agreement about these attributes in the literature or data about them to explore this area of analysis further.

Many of the studies within the statistical meta-analytic part of the review were of low quality; the wide ORs reflect the small study sample sizes, and there was also considerable heterogeneity in the results. Observational studies also have problems of confounding and selection-bias; in some institutions the most academically proficient medical students are those likely to be offered the option to undertake iBScs. While we attempted to identify such effects, unrecognised

bias may have occurred. Nicholson et al.'s work additionally suggests financial constraints; possibly an unrecognised confounder as it suggests a bias against students from lower income backgrounds, perhaps struggling with debt or part-time work or other issues that may impact on performance and this may be an issue among those who don't take up BScs (Nicholson, Cleland, Lemon, & Galley 2010).

Within those studies analysed in the CIS component of the study we recognise the need to be cautious; just because opinion leaders say their courses improve aspects of students' intellectual development does not mean this is necessarily true. We also suspect an inherent bias in published material as there are strong motivations for students and organisers to write about successful outcomes to their courses. However, this data is remarkably consistent and informants who appear to have no obvious conflict of interest (Smith 1986) make similar claims of benefit from such courses.

We acknowledge that iBSc degrees are also a heterogeneous intervention (for example: compare an iBSc physiology and one in international health). Expecting to see a consistent impact on any one measure, such as undergraduate finals, may, therefore, not be reasonable.

However, despite these concerns about some of the original data and limitations of our analyses, there are consistent patterns, validated across both methodologies, across countries, and over time, suggesting that iBScs can have a beneficial effect on students in UG medical education.

Links to other literature

Previous reviews on this subject are available (Leung W 2001) (Collins, Farish, McCalman, & McColl 2010). Leung's review aimed at a student readership is comprehensive but is a non systematic review of the literature and the source studies were not appraised. The review by Leung was published in 2001, so is

now 11 years old, and misses some of the more recent methodologically higher quality studies, particularly those that cast some doubt on the beneficial impact of iBScs on UG performance. Collins et al. (2010), while more recent, is not primarily a review of the literature, but as part of the discussion pulls together much of this background material in a non systematic manner and includes some UK and international policy documentation. This current review, therefore, brings previous reviews up to date (with searches updated to late 2012), adds critique of the primary studies and does so in a systematic manner across both the qualitative and quantitative research traditions.

Previous research also confirmed that there is a beneficial effect of an extra year of study and maturity on students' exam performance (Wilkinson et al. 2004). The effects we report on UG performance particularly may, therefore, not be arising from the iBSc but due to increasing student maturity from an extra year of study. Mahesan et al. report, however, that the extended MB BS course students do slightly worse than students undertaking the normal length course. Additionally, there seem to be no effect with year of intercalation suggesting a diminution of effect on exam performance with time (Mahesan, Crichton, Sewell, & Howell 2011). These indirect pieces of evidence suggest additional student maturity may not be a key factor in our findings.

Conclusion

This review shows that iBScs may be a useful addition to the standard medical undergraduate curriculum in terms of impact on student performance, skills development and may positively impact on students' employability and subsequent careers. The more recent studies give conflicting answers about the impact of iBSc on student performance.

The CIS data adds new insights into the students' perspective on iBScs, enabling the appreciation of positive and negative student commentaries alike.

The increasing impact of course fees and other costs will have a heavy impact on students' decisions about such courses. In a time of austerity, the role of iBScs, as part of an already expensive medical course, is likely to come under close scrutiny. It is uncertain whether the potential benefits of iBScs could be squeezed into student selected components or into the ever expanding main medical career. Such interventions, while probably demonstrating improved student skills, may well harm the *intangible benefits* of iBSc courses that are not measurable, such as enhanced scientific curiosity and intellectual development.

There is some suggestion that the benefits of increased research training seen with iBScs might be being rebranded by institutions, and resources moved, to develop combined medicine / higher research degree programmes (such as MSs/MD/PhDs) (Creavin, Mallen, & Hays 2010) to benefit from the greater marketability, status and international recognition attached to such degrees.

There is scope for future research regarding iBScs in medical education, as this review has demonstrated. Neither this review, nor any of the identified papers, address the question, what exactly is the function of an iBSc degree? Is it to seed new medical academics (the institutional focus) or is to help make *better* doctors (the societal focus)?

Cross institutional or even international collaboration may help define the objectives, and delineate the potential benefits of these courses. Semi-structured interviews with or surveys of students and academic stakeholders, or discourse analysis of student internet blogs on the subject

(http://www.thestudentroom.co.uk/wiki/A_Brief_Guide_to_Intercalated_Degrees) (tinyurl.com/6vz75o9), represent interesting possibilities for further work.

Educational practice and policy implications

Medical schools that offer optional iBScs need clear equitable selection policies that don't disadvantage able students from poorer backgrounds.

Course designers should have clear aims for these courses, and these aims need to consider clear societal benefits, i.e. *producing better doctors*.

There should be a diversity of courses offered by medical schools with a rebalancing towards more clinically related subjects

Medical schools that offer these courses should have clear generic objectives across all their iBScs which go beyond a narrow disciplinary focus.

National research funding bodies should (again) consider supporting these courses as they clearly impact on career choices of aspiring medical academics.

There should be more evaluations of such courses, particularly of those courses that are less successful (so that lessons can be learnt by other institutions).

Practice points

There is evidence that:-

- intercalated BScs may improve subsequent undergraduate performance.
- iBScs are associated with increased chance of students pursuing academic medical careers.
- The educational experiences of these courses are often (but not universally) very rich, and students may develop skills in areas that traditional undergraduate courses struggle to provide.
- These courses are expensive for students, faculty and funding bodies and they delay workforce entry.

References

- Adamson J 2005, "Combined qualitative and quantitative designs," *In Handbook of health research methods: investigation, measurement and analysis*, Bowling A & Ebrahim S, eds., Maidenhead, Berks UK: OUP, pp. 230-245.
- Agha, R. & Howell S 2005. Intercalated BSc degrees - why do students do them? *The Clinical Teacher*, 2, (2) 72-76
- Agha, R. & Singh, G. 2003. Studying for an intercalated BSc. *Med.Educ.*, 37, (9) 839 available from: PM:12950949
- Al-Shaqsi S 2010. To intercalate or not to intercalate. *The New Zealand Medical Student Journal*, 11, (29) 30
- Andriole, D.A., Whelan, A.J., & Jeffe, D.B. 2008. Characteristics and Career Intentions of the Emerging MD/PhD Workforce. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 300, (10) 1165-1173 available from: <http://jama.ama-assn.org/cgi/content/abstract/300/10/1165>
- Asgari-Jirhandeh, N. & Haywood, J. 1997. Computer awareness among medical students: a survey. *Med Ed* 1997; 31(3),225-9. *Med Educ*, 31, (3) 225-229 available from: DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2923.1997.tb02571.x
- Aston D 2001. (response to) Is studying for an intercalated degree a wise career move? *studentBMJ*, 9, 478 available from: <http://studetnbnmj.com/backissues/1101/careers/418res1.html>
- Attwell, D. & Boyd, R. 1996. Withdrawal of medical research council funding for intercalated BSc students. *Lancet*, 348, (9021) 198 available from: ISI:A1996UX78900056
- Baleta, A. 2012. Research focus Profile: South African medical school celebrates a centenary. *Lancet*, 379, (9835) 2416 available from: DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61051-4
- Barzansky, B., Jonas, H.S., & Etzel, S.I. 2000. Educational Programs in US Medical Schools, 1999-2000. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 284, (9) 1114-1120 available from: <http://jama.ama-assn.org/cgi/content/abstract/284/9/1114>
- Broome JL, Gordon JK, Victory FL, Clarke LA, Goldstein D, & Emmel ND 2007. International health in medical education: students' experiences and views. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 21, (6) 575-579

Burkitt Wright EMM 2001. Are intercalated degrees better? (response to). *studentBMJ*, 9, 479 available from:

http://archive.student.bmj.com/back_issues/1001/letters/393dres4.html

Child M & Gupta L 2009. A career in pathology. *studentBMJ* 100-101 available from:

<http://careers.bmj.com/careers/advice/view-article.html?id=20000096>

Clark O and Djulbegovic B. (2001. *Forest plots in excel software(Data sheet)*. 2001.

Cleland, J., Milne, A., Sinclair, H., & Lee, A. 2009. An intercalated BSc degree is associated with higher marks in subsequent medical school examinations. *BMC Medical Education*, 9, (1) 24 available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6920/9/24>

Collier K 2001. Should I feel compelled to do research? *studentBMJ*, 9, 253

Collins, J.P., Farish, S., McCalman, J.S., & McColl, G.J. 2010. A mandatory intercalated degree programme: Revitalising and enhancing academic and evidence-based medicine. *Medical Teacher*, 32, (12) e541-e546 available from:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2010.528807>

Creavin, S., Mallen, C., & Hays, R. 2010. An intercalated research Masters in primary care: a pilot programme. *Education for Primary Care*, 21 (2010), (3) 208-211 available from:

<http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/rmp/epc/2010/00000021/00000003/art00014>

Cursiefen, C., Beer, M., & Altunbas, A. 1995. Should All Medical-Students do Research During Their Studies. *Medical Education*, 29, (3) 254 available from: ISI:A1995RE45200015

Daubney JH, Wagner EE, & Rogers WA 1981. Six - year BS/ MD programs: a literature review. *Journal of Medical Education*, 56, 497-503

Dixon-Woods, M., Cavers, D., Agarwal, S., Annandale, E., Arthur, A., Harvey, J., Hsu, R., Katbamna, S., Olsen, R., Smith, L., Riley, R., & Sutton, A. 2006. Conducting a critical interpretive synthesis of the literature on access to healthcare by vulnerable groups. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 6, (1) 35 available from:

<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2288/6/35>

Dudley HAF 1970. A first degree in clinical science. *British Journal of Medical Education*, 4, 114-116

Dudley HAF 1989. Education for clinical science: experience in Australia and England. *Aust.N Z J Surg.*, 59, 909-912

Eaton, D. G. & Thong, Y. H. 1986, "The Bachelor of Medical Science Programme at the University of Queensland," *In A Medical School for Queensland*, Doherty RL., ed., Brisbane, Australia: Boolarong Publications, pp. 126-139.

Elwood, J.M. 1986. Intercalated degrees. *BMJ*, 293, (6540) 202

Elwood, J.M., Pearson, J.C., Madeley, R.J., Logan, R.F., Beaver, M.W., Gillies, P.A., Little, J., & Langham, A. 1986. Research in epidemiology and community health in the medical curriculum: students' opinions of the Nottingham experience. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 40, (3) 232-235 available from: <http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/content/abstract/40/3/232>

Evered, D.C., Anderson, J., Griggs, P., & Wakeford, R. 1987. The correlates of research success. *British Medical Journal*, 295, 241-246

Fingerote RJ 1989. BScMed program: Do finances influence enrolment? *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 140, (5) 495-496

Fraser, C.G., Browning, M.C.K., Walsh, D.B., & Paterson, C.R. 1986. A structured BSc course in clinical biochemistry intercalated in a medical curriculum. *Biochemical Education*, 14, (3) 125-127

Gardner K & Olojugba C 2008. Should I do an intercalated BSc? *studentBMJ*, 16, 238-239

Gerrard, J.M., Fish, I., Tate, R., & Fish, D.G. 1988. Evaluation of the careers of graduates of the University of Manitoba's BSc (Medicine) program. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 139, (11) 1063-1068

Gogalniceanu, P., O'Connor, E.F., & Raftery, A. 2009. Undergraduate anatomy teaching in the UK. *Bulletin of The Royal College of Surgeons of England*, 91, (3) 102-106 available from: <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/rcse/brcs/2009/00000091/00000003/art00016;http://dx.doi.org/10.1308/147363509X407506>

Goldie, J., Schwartz, L., McConnachie, A., & Morrison, J. 2004. The impact of a modern medical curriculum on students' proposed behaviour on meeting ethical dilemmas. *Medical Education*, 38, (9) 942-949 available from: <http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2004.01915.x>

Goldstein D 2002. The students' perspective. *studentBMJ*, 10, 441-484

Gray, C. 1989. Who will pay for intercalated degrees in pathology. *Journal of Pathology*, 157, (3) 187-189 available from: ISI:A1989T657800001

Greenhalgh, T. & Wong, G. 2003. Doing an intercalated BSc can make you a better doctor. *Med.Educ.*, 37, (9) 760-761 available from: PM:12950936

Griswold, K., Silverstein, D., Lenkei, E., & Fiedler, R. 1991. Research skills for medical students: a summer assistantship in family medicine. *Fam.Med.*, 23, (4) 306-307 available from: PM:2065881

- Gutenstein M 2000. How much? The price of medical education. *studentBMJ*, 8, 34 available from: <http://archive.student.bmj.com/issues/00/02/life/34.php>
- Harris PF 1986. Intercalated degrees. *BMJ*, 293, (6540) 202
- Holgate J, Morse J, Pearson S, and Reynolds S. (1999. *Difficulties in separating cause and effect (electronic response to McManus article BMJ 27.8.99)*
<http://www.bmj.com/rapid-response/2011/10/28/intercalated-degrees-and-learning-styles>.
- Holmes, B. 1986. Intercalated degrees. *BMJ*, 293, (6542) 336
- Howman, M. & Jones, M. 2011. Does undertaking an intercalated BSc influence first clinical year exam results at a London medical school? *BMC Medical Education*, 11, (1) 6 available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6920/11/6>
- Iqbal K 2001. Are intercalated degrees better? *studentBMJ*, 9, (November) 399-442
- Jacobs, C.D. & Cross, P.C. 1995. The value of medical student research: the experience at Stanford University School of Medicine. *Medical Education*, 29, (5) 342-346 available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.1995.tb00023.x>
- Jones M, Lloyd M, & Meakin R 2001. Intercalated BSc. in Primary Health Care - an outline of a new course. *Medical Teacher*, 23, (1) 95-97
- Jones M, Singh S, & Lloyd M 2005. "It isn't just consultants that need a BSc": student experiences of an Intercalated BSc. in Primary Health Care. *Medical Teacher*, 27, (2) 164-168
- Jones M, Singh S, & Meakin R 2008. Undergraduate research in primary care: is it sustainable? *Primary Health Care Research and Development*, 9, 85-95
- Kemph, J.P., Claybrook, J.R., & Sodeman, W.A., Sr. 1984. Summer research program for medical students. *J.Med.Educ.*, 59, (9) 708-713 available from: PM:6471081
- Kentish JC & Avkiran M 2002. Academic medicine: allegations not true. *studentBMJ*, 10, (295)
- Kolcic, I.F., Polasek, O.F., Mihalj, H.F., Gombac E FAU - Kraljevic, V., Kraljevic, V.F., Kraljevic, I.F., & Krakar, G. 2005. Research involvement, specialty choice, and emigration preferences of final year medical students in croatiac. *Croat Med J*, 46, (0353-9504 (Print)) 88-95
- Krishnan P 2002a. Academic medicine: allegations are not true (author's response). *studentBMJ*, 10, 295
- Krishnan P 2002b. Medical students do the donkey work. *studentBMJ* (10) 89

Lambert, T.W. & Goldacre, M.J. 1998. Career destinations seven years on among doctors who qualified in the United Kingdom in 1988: postal questionnaire survey. *BMJ*, 317, (7170) 1429-1431

Lambert, T.W., Goldacre, M.J., Davidson, J.M., & Parkhouse, J. 2001. Graduate status and age at entry to medical school as predictors of doctors' choice of long-term career. *Med Educ.*, 35, (5) 450-454

Lambert, T.W., Goldacre, M.J., Parkhouse, J., & Edwards, C. 1996. Career destinations in 1994 of United Kingdom medical graduates of 1983: results of a questionnaire survey. *BMJ*, 312, (7035) 893-897 available from: <http://www.bmj.com>

Leung W 1999. How to prepare your curriculum vitae. *studentBMJ*, 7, (November 1999) 426-427 available from: http://student.bmj.com/back_issues/1199/life/426.html

Leung W 2001. Is studying for an intercalated degree a wise career move? *studentBMJ*, 9, 418-419

Longmore HJA 1986. Intercalated degrees. *BMJ*, 293, (6540) 202

Ludbrook, J. 1989. Academic surgery and the Bachelor of Medical Science degree. *Aust.N Z J Surg.*, 59, (12) 907-908

Mabvuure, N.T. 2012. Twelve tips for introducing students to research and publishing: A medical student's perspective. *Medical Teacher*, 34, (9) 705-709 available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.684915>

MacGowan AP, Johnston PW, & Thomson AW 1986. Intercalated degrees. *BMJ*, 293, (6540) 201-202

Mahesan, N., Crichton, S., Sewell, H., & Howell, S. 2011. The effect of an intercalated BSc on subsequent academic performance. *BMC Medical Education*, 11, (1) 76 available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6920/11/76>

McManus IC, Richards P, & Winder B.C 1999. Intercalated degrees, learning styles, and career preferences: prospective longitudinal study of UK medical students. *BMJ*, 319, 542-546

McManus, C. 2011. To BSc or not to BSc . . . *studentBMJ*, 19:d7559,

Morrison, J. 2004. Academic medicine and intercalated degrees. *Med Educ*, 38, 1128-1129

Moscrop A 2002. Learning from history: the value of a BSc in the history of medicine. *studentBMJ*, 10, (April)

Murphy, M.J., Seneviratne, R.D., Mcaleer, S.P., Remers, O.J., & Davis, M.H. 2008. Student selected components: do students learn what teachers think they teach? *Medical*

Teacher, 30, (9-10) e175-e179 available from:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01421590802337138>

Nade, S. 1978. Higher medical and surgical degrees in the University of Sydney. *Medical Education*, 12, (3) 226-229

Nguyen VanTam J, Logan RF, Logan S, & Mindell J 2001. What happens to medical students who complete an honours year in public health and epidemiology? *Med Educ.*, 35, (2) 134-136

Nicholson, J., Cleland, J., Lemon, J., & Galley, H. 2010. Why medical students choose not to carry out an intercalated BSc: a questionnaire study. *BMC Medical Education*, 10, (1) 25 available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6920/10/25>

Park SJK, Liang MMS, Sherwin T, & McGhee CNJ 2010. Completing an intercalated research degree during medical undergraduate training: barriers, benefits and postgraduate career profiles. *Journal of the New Zealand Medical Association*, 24-September-2010, Vol 123 No 1323, 123, (1323)

Price H 1998. Intercalating helps personal development and medical skills. *studentBMJ*, August, letters page

Remes, V., Helenius, I., & Sinisaari, I. 2000. Research and medical students. *Medical Teacher*, 22, (2) 164-167 available from:
<http://www.informaworld.com/10.1080/01421590078599>

Ritchie.J & Spencer E 1994, "Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research," *In Analysing qualitative data.*, Bryman A & Burgess RG, eds., London: Routledge.

Rushforth, B. 2004. Academic medicine and intercalated degrees - the myth of student choice. *Medical Education*, 38, (11) 1136-1138 available from: ISI:000224727700005

Sastry T. (2005. *The Education and Training of Medical and Health Professionals in Higher Education Institutions (the Higher Education Policy Institute).*

Seltzer, A. 1987. The Correlates of Research Success. *British Medical Journal*, 295, (6596) 500 available from: ISI:A1987J803100027

Smith, F.G., Harasym, P.H., Mandin, H., & Lorscheider, F.L. 2001. Development and Evaluation of a Research Project Program for Medical Students at the University of Calgary Faculty of Medicine. *Academic Medicine*, 76, (2) available from:
http://journals.lww.com/academicmedicine/Fulltext/2001/02000/Development_and_Evaluation_of_a_Research_Project.23.aspx

Smith, R. 1986. A senseless sacrifice: the fate of intercalated degrees. *Br.Med.J.(Clin.Res.Ed)*, 292, (6536) 1619-1620 available from: PM:3087544

Smith, R. 1988. Research Policy: Medical researchers: training and straining. *BMJ.*, 296, (6626) 920-924

Tait N & Marshall T 1995. Is an intercalated BSc degree associated with higher marks in examinations during the clinical years? *Medical Education*, 29, (3) 216-219

Tamber PS 1986. MRC withdraws intercalated degree funds. *BMJ*, 312, 1117-1118

Thiagamoorthy S 2001. (response to) Is studying for an intercalated degree a wise career move? *studentBMJ*, 9, 478 available from:
<http://studetnbnmj.com/backissues/1101/careers/418res3.html>

Tonks A & et al. What is a good doctor and how can we create one? (BMJ Theme issue and electronic responses). *BMJ* 325, 711. 2002.
Ref Type: Journal (Full)

Wakeford, R., Evered, D., Lyon, J., & Saunders, N. 1985. Where do medically qualified researchers come from? *The Lancet*, 326, (8449) 262-265 available from:
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6T1B-49H83XY-10K/1/27d55c4493e624fc741a1e2ff9dc33a8>

Watmough, S., O'Sullivan, H., & Taylor, D. 2009. Graduates from a traditional medical curriculum evaluate the effectiveness of their medical curriculum through interviews. *BMC Medical Education*, 9, (1) 64 available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6920/9/64>

Weidmann A 2002. Learning from history: the value of a BSc in the history of medicine. *studentBMJ*, april 5, letters page

White R 2006. Tips on...Surviving an intercalated degree. *studentBMJ*, 14, 133-176 available from: <http://archive.student.bmj.com/issues/06/04/careers/154b.php>

Whittle, S.R. & Murdoch-Eaton, D.G. 2002. Student-selected projects: can they enhance lifelong learning skills? *Med.Teach.*, 24, (1) 41-44 available from: PM:12098456

Wilkinson, T.J., Wells, J.E., & Bushnell, J.A. 2004. Are differences between graduates and undergraduates in a medical course due to age or prior degree? *Medical Education*, 38, (11) 1141-1146 available from: ISI:000224727700007

Williamson JD 1986. Intercalated degrees. *BMJ*, 293, (6542) 336

Wyllie, A.H. & Currie, A.R. 1986. The Edinburgh intercalated honours BSc in pathology: evaluation of selection methods, undergraduate performance, and postgraduate career. *British Medical Journal Clinical Research Ed*, 292, (6536) 1646-1648


Young JA & Sefton AJ 1984, "Science degrees in the faculty of medicine," *In Centenary book of the University of Sydney Faculty of Medicine*, Young JA, Sefton AJ, & Webb N, eds., Sydney: Sydney University Press, pp. 352-354.

Yudkin, J.S., Bayley, O., Elnour, S., Willott, C., & Miranda, J.J. 2003. Introducing medical students to global health issues: a Bachelor of Science degree in international health. *The Lancet*, 362, (9386) 822-824 available from:

<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6T1B-49FPDJB-V/2/a64d7cf8a581caeec016cbfcd55334f4>

|

Flow chart of BEME iBSc review



Appendix 1 Meta-analysis- data sources

	Author	Outcome measure	methods/ analysis	commentary	included	Reason
1	Maheesan et al 2011	Finals exam performance and early PG career	linear regression analysis	School where > 50% do iBSc	Yes	High quality study adjusting for prior performance and other baseline factors
2	Howman et al 2011	Summative marks for year 3 (1st clinical year). iBSc taken before or after exam.	Multi-variate analysis of cross sectional data.	School where all non graduates undertake iBSc reducing risk of selection bias (Author COI)	Yes	High quality study adjusting for prior performance and other baseline factors (NB author COI)
3	Cleland et al 2009	Summative MB BS degree assessments taken after intercalating.	Univariate and Multinomial logistic regression	School where 1/3 of student intercalate, selection largely on academic performance	Yes	High quality study adjusting for prior performance and other baseline factors
4	Gerrard et al 1988	Career outcome and publication record	Case control iBSc students compared with non BSc students	Controlled for demographic profile and prior academic performance	Yes	High quality study controlling for a range of potential confounders
5	Wyllie et al 1986	Finals performance and career	case control matched on prior performance	60 Pathology iBSc students matched with non iBSc	Yes	High quality study adjusting for prior performance and other baseline factors
Studies not included in meta-analysis						
6	McManus et al 1999	Study habits (surface, deep, and strategic learning style) and interest in different medical careers, including medical research.	Longitudinal questionnaire (prospective) multilevel modelling and multiple regression.	Large multicentre study	Yes	Very high quality study adjusting for prior performance and other baseline factors, but no data relating to review outcomes apart from careers.
7	Tait & Marshall 1995	marks in clinical exams subsequent to iBSc.	case control study compares with gender / academically matched non iBSc students	Very small numbers n=14 in iBSc group multiple measures of student performance recorded	Yes	Moderate quality study
Studies included for data on GP career impact (broader selection criteria)						
8	Eaton & Thong 1985 and 1986 (2 versions)	finals performance, careers and academic measures	Retrospective case control study	Australian study following students through to postgraduate training	Yes (for GP career analysis only)	some matching but not controlled for prior performance
9	Lambert et al (Med Ed 2001)	GP career by iBSc status	Retrospective survey of UK graduates looking at reported	Study will not account for those who do not complete UG course	Yes (for GP career)	Large nationally representative survey no adjustment for prior academic performance

			BSc participation		analysis only)	
10	MacGowan et al 1986	Academic output (papers) and career destination	Descriptive no data on comparator groups (estimated from national data)	Case series of students completing B Med Biol degree	Yes (for GP career only)	Some source data for careers only
11	Williamson 1986	Subsequent Career and academic activity	Descriptive series from 1 institution, no comparator group. Comparator data obtained form from national data source	Retrospective survey	Yes (for GP career data only)	Some source data for careers only
12	Nguyen et al 2001	examine career choice of medical students who completed an honours year in public health & epidemiology	descriptive analysis	Case series of public health/ epidemiology intercalated honours degree	Yes (for GP career data only)	Some source data for careers only
13	Young & Sefton 1984	subsequent careers	case series	no comparator group	Yes (GP career data only)	Some source data for careers only
Studies not included						
14	Elwood et al 1986 (JECH)	Case series (largely similar data set to BMJ letter & Nguyen data)	Descriptive Case series	no comparator group	No	no comparator group
15	Elwood (2) et al (BMJ letter) 1986	Case series (earlier data to 1986 study and Nguyen data)	Descriptive	no comparator group	No	Data not usable
16	Wakeford & Evered 1985	UG performance of Profs and reader	Case control study	Not prospective data	No	will not capture prospective effect of BSc
17	Collins et al 2010	Large Australian case series of iBSc students	Case series	no comparator group	No	no comparator group (and cannot reliably use UK comparator groups)
18	Harris 1986	Series of iBSc students- some data on subsequent academic progression	Case series descriptive	no comparator group	No	Data not usable
19	Nade et al 1978	Retrospective survey of higher degree holder	Descriptive no comparator groups	retrospective	No	Data unusable in this review

Appendix 2: data sources for the critical interpretive synthesis

	Author	Type of data source	Formal qualitative analysis	Commentary	included	Reason
1	Agha and Howell 2005	Study aimed at student attitudes to (dis)benefits of doing iBSc	No	Useful contextual information about student opinion on iBSc courses	Yes	Useful student informant data
2	Agha and Singh 2003	Letter In journal of record	No	Student letter reflecting on personal development during an iBSc	Yes	Useful student informant data
3	Al-Shaqzi 2010	Peer reviewed article (NZ) "To intercalate or not to intercalate"	No	Contextual info by student about new iBSc course	Yes	Useful student informant data
4	Asgari-Jihandeh & Haywood1997	Short report in peer reviewed journal	No	Useful contextual information about skills acquisition from iBSc	Yes	Useful student informant data
5	Aston 2001	Student BMJ (sBMJ) letter	No	Useful contextual information about student opinion on iBSc courses	Yes	Useful student informant data
6	Attwell et al1986	Lancet letter	No	External stakeholder opinion on role of iBSc	Yes	Useful external stakeholder informant data
7	Burkitt Wright 2001	Letter sBMJ	No	Data on career impact and finance	Yes	Useful student informant data
8	Broome et al 2007	Peer review paper on students' views	Yes	Useful contextual information about student opinion on iBSc courses	Yes	Useful student informant data
9	Collier K 2001	sBMJ letter on iBSc research	No	Source of data on student perspective about merits of undertaking research (negative opinion)	Yes	Useful student informant data (and a divergent voice)
10	Dudley (ANZJ Surg) 1989	Descriptive piece of Australian course	No	Faculty opinion	Yes	Useful faculty informant data
11	Emmel/ Goldstein 2002	sBMJ article on BSc international health/ student perspective	No	Some comment on benefits of iBSc	Yes	Useful student informant data

12	Evered et al 1987	BMJ peer review article	No	Evidence on provenance of medical researchers including iBSc	Yes	Useful professional (clinician/ academic) informant data
13	Fingerote (& Gerrard author reply) 1989	CMAJ letter	No	Qualified clinician perspective on financial barriers to iBSc	Yes	Useful professional (clinician) informant data
14	Gerrard et al 1988	Peer review article (Canada)	No	Some faculty insights in predominantly quantitative study	Yes	Useful faculty informant data
15	Gardner et al 2008	sBMJ article on benefits of an iBSc	No	Student and clinician perspective on iBSc	Yes	Useful professional (clinician) and student informant data
16	Gray 1989	Editorial	No	Faculty perspective on iBSc funding	Yes	Useful faculty informant data
17	Goldie et al 2004	Peer reviewed article (Med Ed)	No	study data on students' handling ethical issues	Yes	Useful data about (adverse) impact on student skills
18	Greenhalgh and Wong 2003	Editorial (commentary)	No	Faculty opinion on role of iBSc	Yes	Useful faculty informant data
19	Gutenstein 2000	sBMJ giving data on medical education costs	No	Source of data on course costs	Yes	Costs data
20	Harris 1986	BMJ letter	No	Course description/ outcome	No	Mainly quantitative data
21	Holgate et al 1999	(BMJ) Rapid response to McManus	No	Useful contextual information about student opinion on iBSc courses	Yes	Useful student informant data
22	Holmes 1986	BMJ letter	No	Qualified clinician perspective on benefits of iBSc	Yes	Useful professional (GP) informant data
23	Iqbal 2001	Letter sBMJ	No	Useful contextual information about skills acquisition from iBSc	Yes	Useful student informant data
24	Jones, Singh, Lloyd 2001	Peer review article on an iBSc (author COI)	No	Faculty opinion on role of iBSc	Yes	Useful faculty informant data (Author COI)
25	Jones et al 2005	Peer review article on an iBSc (author COI)	Yes	Useful contextual information about student opinion on iBSc courses	Yes	Useful student informant data (Author COI)
26	Jones et al 2008	Peer review article on an iBSc (author COI)	Yes	Useful contextual information about student and faculty opinion on iBSc courses/ skills acquisition	Yes	Useful student / faculty informant data (Author COI)
27	Kentish/ Avkiran 2002	Response to Krishnan and	No	Useful contextual information on student	Yes	Useful student/faculty informant

		Krishnan partial retraction		and faculty perception of project roles		data (and a negative voice/ divergent data)
28	Krishnan 2002	Letter sBMJ	No	Useful contextual information about skills acquisition from iBSc (rare adverse student comment- subsequently retracted)	Yes	Useful student informant data (and a negative voice/ divergent data)
29	Leung W 2001	Article to student about merits doing an iBSc	No	Opinion on merits of IBSc for jobs	Yes	Useful external informant data
30	Leung W 1999	Article aimed at students about strengthening CV	No	Opinion on merits of IBSc for jobs	Yes	Useful external informant data
31	Longmore HJA	BMJ letter	No	Clinician stakeholder opinion(divergent) about role ,of iBSc	Yes	Useful clinician stakeholder informant (divergent) data
32	Ludbrook 1989	Editorial	No	Faculty opinion on role of iBSc	Yes	Useful faculty informant data
33	Morrison 2004	Editorial Journal of record	No	Faculty opinion on long term impact of iBSc	Yes	Useful faculty informant data
34	Moscrop 2002	sBMJ letter	No	Useful contextual information about student opinion on iBSc courses	Yes	Useful student informant data
35	Nicholson et al 2010	Peer review article	No	Useful contextual information about student opinion on non participation in iBSc courses	Yes	Useful (divergent) student informant data
36	Patel sBMJ 2001	sBMJ letter	No	Useful contextual information about student opinion on iBSc courses and impact on employment	Yes	Useful student informant data
37	Price 1998	sBMJ letter	No	Intercalating helps personal development and medical skills	Yes	Useful student informant data
38	Rushforth 2004	Med ed editorial Academic medicine and intercalated degrees – the myth of student choice'	No	Useful contextual information about student/ recent graduate opinion on iBSc courses	Yes	Useful student / stakeholder informant data
39	Smith R 1986	BMJ editorial	No	Clinician/ science publisher perspective on iBSc	Yes	Useful professional (BMJ editor) informant data
40	Thiagamoorthy 2001	sBMJ letter	No	Useful contextual information about student opinion on iBSc courses	Yes	Useful student informant data
41	White R 2006	sBMJ article Tips on surviving a iBSc	No	Useful contextual information about student opinion on iBSc courses	Yes	Useful student informant data

42	Williamson 1986	BMJ letter	No	Useful contextual information about student /faculty opinion on iBSc courses	Yes	Useful student/ faculty informant data
43	Wyllie et al 1986	Peer review article	No	Some faculty insights in predominantly quantitative study	Yes	Useful faculty informant data
44	Yudkin et al 2003	Lancet description of new course	No	Contextual info by faculty and student about new iBSc course	Yes	Useful student and faculty informant data
45	Weidmann 1995	sBMJ	No	Useful contextual information about student opinion on iBSc courses		Useful student and faculty informant data
46	Park	JNZMA	No	Useful information- survey of intercalated graduates (but some intercalated higher degrees)	yes	Useful student informant data

Studies not included					
Andriole et al 2008	US MD PhD students	No	Characteristics and Career Intentions of the Emerging MD/PhD Workforce	No	Outside scope of review
Barzansky 2000	US programme	No	Educational Programs in US Medical Schools	No	Outside scope of review
Child and Gupta 2009	sBMJ on pathology	No	Student and faculty view on merits of iBSc in pathology	No	Limited contextual information
Cursifen 1995	Peer reviewed study	No	Research course but not intercalated degree	No	Outside scope of review
Creavin 2010	Description of new course	No	Intercalated course but at Masters level	No	Outside scope of review
Dudley (Br J Med Ed) 1970	Descriptive piece of Australian course	No	Faculty opinion	No	Little usable data
Gogalnicaunu et al 2009	UG anatomy teaching	No	Brief description of iBSc anatomy teaching	No	Limited data
Griswold et al 1991	Peer reviewed paper	No	Summer research school	No	Outside scope of review
Jacobs & Cross 1995	Peer reviewed paper	No	Course description- research course	No	Outside scope of review
<i>Johnson et al 2005</i>	Comparison of med /non med students doing a BSc	No	Not comparing 2 groups of Medical students	No	comparison of B.Med Sci (non medical students) with med students
Jones 1999	BMJ (Rapid response to McManus)	No	Faculty opinion (author COI)	No	Limited data
Kemph et al 1984	Peer review paper	No	Summer research school	No	Outside scope of review
Kolcic et al 2005	Peer review journal	No	Research training programme	No	Outside scope of review
MacGowan et al 1986	BMJ letter	No	Course description/ outcome	No	Mainly quantitative data
Piele & Johnson 2008	sBMJ article on academic medicine	No	Some comment on benefits of IBSc	No	Insufficient contextual information
Seltzer 1987	BMJ letter (response to Evered)	No	Comment on results	No	No useable data
Smith FG et al 2001	Peer review paper	No	Research training programme	No	Outside scope of review
Smith R BMJ 1988	Review on medical research training	No	Some review of data on IBSc	No	Data used in meta-analysis
Tamber 1986	News article on MRC withdrawal of funding for BSc	No	Limited data on funders stakeholder opinion	No	Limited data
Villaneuva 2007	sBMJ article	No	Some contextual information about student opinion	No	Too brief to be useful

			on iBSc courses		
Wakeford et al 1985	Lancet peer reviewed article	No	Evidence on provenance of medical researchers including iBSc	No	Too focused question for this review
Wakeford 1995	Med Ed letter (Response to Tait paper)	No	No new data	No	Highlights prev article