

# BEME Progress report June 2015

## Review title

---

**Health care professionals' learning through collaboration in primary health care: a realist review of what works, for whom and in what circumstances.**

## Review team (alphabetical)

---

Gemma Cherry - School of Medicine, Centre for Excellence in Evidence-Based Learning and Teaching (CEEBLT), University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

Myriam Deveugele MA PhD – Professor in Communication in Health Sciences, Department of Family Medicine and Primary Health Care, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

Esther de Groot MD PhD – Julius Centre for Health Care Sciences and Primary Healthcare, Family Medicine, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands

Loes Meijer MD - Julius Centre for Health Care Sciences and Primary Healthcare, Family Medicine, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands

Fien Mertens MD – Department of Family Medicine and Primary Health Care, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

Peter Pype MD PhD – Department of Family Medicine and Primary Health Care, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

Ann Stes PhD – Institute for Education and Information Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

Bart Van den Eynden MD PhD – Professor in Palliative Care and Primary Health Care, Department of Primary and Interdisciplinary Care Antwerp (PICA), University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

Johan Wens MD PhD – Professor in Primary Health Care - Department of Primary and Interdisciplinary Care Antwerp (PICA), University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

## Review progress

---

- **Database searches** in Medline, ERIC and additional ProQuest databases, Embase and Cinahl are finalised. The adaptation of the database search syntaxes (because of the different thesauri) were done with the assistance of a librarian. In total 6930 references and abstracts are imported in EndNote X7, after duplicate deletion.
- **Title and full text screening** is done until reference 3744.

Abstract selection is done by PP, FM, EDG and LM. As EDG and LM joined the review team later in the review process, skype meetings (with all four reviewers) are held to fine-tune abstract selection procedure through the discussion of selected references. Subsequently, duo's selected abstracts: PP and FM screened reference 1-1500; EDG and LM 1501-3744. Each team member independently screened titles, abstracts and full texts after which two by two discussions were held until agreement. In total 51 articles met the inclusion criteria. 5 extra papers are left undecided and need more discussion.

- **Analysis of selected articles:**  
Is done by PP, FM, EDG and LM. Prior to the analysis process, a skype meeting between the 4 reviewers was held to make agreements regarding the procedure. 4 papers are analysed (2 included papers of each duo). A qualitative approach is used, the preliminary statements of the protocol hereby representing the different nodes of the coding tree. Each of the four team members analysed the papers independently. Subsequently a workshop was organized to meet and discuss the analysis procedure and results together. NVivo 10 software is used for analysis. This pilot analysis resulted in a well described procedure for future analysis.

Planning: New duo's are formed, namely PP + LM and FM + EDG. By August, each duo will analyse 10 different included papers (first independently, then discussing the results with the partner). A next meeting with the four team members will be organised to discuss the results of the 20 papers. After the discussion a meeting with the whole research team will be organized to discuss the meaning of the preliminary results in the light of future theory development.